

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/711,702	09/30/2004	Tatsuya Kawakami	SIC-04-033	5701
29863 7590 01/02/2008 DELAND LAW OFFICE P.O. BOX 69			EXAMINER	
			LUONG, VINH	
KLAMATHR	IVER, CA 96050-0069		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3682	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/02/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

,		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
		10/711,702	KAWAKAMI, TATSUYA		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
		Vinh T. Luong	3682		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address		
WHI0 - External after af	CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Dominions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 r SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period oure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be till apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE.	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status		•			
. 1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 A	<u>pril 2007</u> .			
2ạ) <u></u> □) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.				
3)[3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.		
Disposit	tion of Claims				
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.			
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
	Claim(s) is/are rejected.				
-	Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.			
Applicat	tion Papers	,			
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.			
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correc				
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer. Note the attached Office	e Action or form PTO-152.		
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).		
)				
	1. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received.	•		
	2. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received in Applica	tion No		
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	· ·	ved in this National Stage		
	application from the International Burea		ad 10 0 1		
Î	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receiv	The mh		
			Sint T. Luong		
			mary Examiner		
Attachme		_	-		
	ice of References Cited (PTO-892) ice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) 🛄 Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail [
3) 🛛 Info	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date 4/27/07; 9/30/04; 6/14/06.	5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Patent Application		

10/711,702 Art Unit: 3682

1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species the species of FIGS. 1-7, the species of FIG. 8, the species of FIG. 9, the species of FIGS. 10 and 11. The species are independent or distinct because claims to the different species recite the mutually exclusive characteristics of such species. In addition, these species are not obvious variants of each other based on the current record.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, Claim 1 is generic.

There is an examination and search burden for these patentably distinct species due to their mutually exclusive characteristics. The species require a different field of search (e.g., searching different classes/subclasses or electronic resources, or employing different search queries); and/or the prior art applicable to one species would not likely be applicable to another species; and/or the species are likely to raise different non-prior art issues under 35 U.S.C. 101 and/or 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete <u>must</u> include (i) an election of a species to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

The election of the species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the election of species requirement, the election shall be treated as

10/711,702

Art Unit: 3682

an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected species.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the species unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other species.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141.

- 2. A telephone call was made to Mr. James A. Deland on December 27, 2007 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.
- 3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Vinh T. Luong whose telephone number is 571-272-7109. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Ridley can be reached on 571-272-6917. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number:

10/711,702

Art Unit: 3682

Page 4

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Luong

December 27, 2007

Vinh T. Luong
Primary Examiner